

**CARELLA, BYRNE, CECCHI, OLSTEIN, BRODY & AGNELLO, P.C.**  
COUNSELLORS AT LAW

CHARLES C. CARELLA  
BRENDAN T. BYRNE  
PETER G. STEWART  
ELLIOT M. OLSTEIN  
ARTHUR T. VANDERBILT, II  
JAN ALAN BRODY  
JOHN M. AGNELLO  
CHARLES M. CARELLA  
JAMES E. CECCHI  
  
JAMES D. CECCHI (1933-1995)  
JOHN G. GILFILLAN III (1936-2008)

JAMES T. BYERS  
DONALD F. MICELI  
A. RICHARD ROSS  
KENNETH L. WINTERS  
JEFFREY A. COOPER  
CARL R. WOODWARD, III  
MELISSA E. FLAX  
DENNIS F. GLEASON  
DAVID G. GILFILLAN  
G. GLENNON TROUBLEFIELD  
BRIAN H. FENLON  
LINDSEY H. TAYLOR  
CAROLINE F. BARTLETT

**5 BECKER FARM ROAD**  
**ROSELAND, N.J. 07068-1739**  
**PHONE (973) 994-1700**  
**FAX (973) 994-1744**  
**www.carellabyrne.com**

RICHARD K. MATANLE, II  
FRANCIS C. HAND  
AVRAM S. EULE  
RAYMOND W. FISHER  
  
OF COUNSEL

RAYMOND J. LILLIE  
WILLIAM SQUIRE  
ALAN J. GRANT<sup>o</sup>  
STEPHEN R. DANEK  
ERIC MAGNELL  
DONALD A. ECKLUND  
AUDRA E. PETROLLE  
MEGAN A. NATALE  
AMANDA J. BARISICH  
ZACHARY S. BOWER+  
^MEMBER NY BAR ONLY  
+MEMBER FL BAR ONLY

January 24, 2012

**VIA ECF**

The Honorable Dennis M. Cavanaugh  
United States District Judge  
United States Post Office  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Re: *In re Schering-Plough Corporation ENHANCE Securities Litigation*,  
Civil Action No. 08-397 (DMC)(JAD)

*In re Merck & Co., Inc. Vytorin/Zetia Securities Litigation*,  
Civil Action No. 08-2177 (DMC)(JAD)

Dear Judge Cavanaugh:

We are co-liaison counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class in the above referenced matters. In response to Lead Plaintiffs' motion for class certification in the *Schering-Plough* matter, Lead Plaintiffs received two opposition briefs totaling 60 pages and a 40-page expert declaration from Defendants. In response to Lead Plaintiffs' motion for class certification in the *Merck* matter, Lead Plaintiffs received a 40-page opposition brief and a 32-page expert declaration from Defendants.

Pursuant to L. Civ. R. 7.2, the *Schering-Plough* Plaintiffs are entitled to two 15-page reply briefs (totaling 30 pages) and the *Merck* Plaintiffs are entitled to one 15-page reply brief. In order to adequately address all the arguments raised in Defendants' briefs, we respectfully request that in *Schering-Plough*, Lead Plaintiffs be permitted to file one combined reply brief of up to 40 pages in 14-point font (as opposed to two separate briefs totaling 30 pages) and that in *Merck*, Lead Plaintiffs be permitted to file one reply brief of up to 25 pages in 14-point font.

We have requested Defendants' consent to this request, but they have not yet responded. If our proposal is acceptable to the Court, kindly "So Order" this letter and have a "filed" copy returned to us via the Court's ECF system.

The Honorable Dennis M. Cavanaugh

January 24, 2012

Page 2

Thank you for your consideration of this matter. If the Court has any questions, we are available at your convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

CARELLA, BYRNE, CECCHI,  
OLSTEIN, BRODY & AGNELLO

/s/ James E. Cecchi

JAMES E. CECCHI

cc: All counsel (via ECF)